7455 (Q-98-448

MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, RELEASE, STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT, AND ORDER.

l

This MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, RELEASE, STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT, AND ORDER is made and entered into by and between the following parties:

PARTIES:

COUNTY OF FRESNO ("County");

CITY OF FRESNO ("City");

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO ("Agency");

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO ("Board");

FRESNO COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER/TREASURER-TAX

COLLECTOR ("Auditor");

FRESNO COUNTY CLERK SUSAN ANDERSON ("Clerk");

SUNNYSIDE PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION ("Suppreside");

SUNNYSIDE PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION ("Sunnyside");
FRESNO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
("LAFCo").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, a number of disputes have arisen between the County of Fresno and the City of Fresno, which disputes resulted in a number of lawsuits between them, as follows: (1) County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, Superior Court Case No. 502123-3; (2) City of Fresno, et al. v. County of Fresno, et al., Superior Court Case No. 545904-5; (3) County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, et al., Superior Court Case No. 560663-7; (4) City of Fresno v. County of Fresno, Superior Court Case No. 566895-9; (5) County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, et al., Superior Court Case No. 571200-5; and. (6) County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, et al., Superior Court Case No. 573647-5; and,

WHEREAS, related disputes have arisen involving the Sunnyside Property Owners Association and the Fresno County Local Agency Formation Commission, resulting in their being named as parties in Superior Court Case No. 560663-7; and,

WHEREAS, on or about December 16, 1996, the aforesaid parties entered into a Master Stipulation For A Moratorium To Permit Settlement Negotiations, which was made an Order of the court on December 17, 1996, as extended by Court approved stipulation, to allow the parties to voluntarily resolve their differences and negotiate a settlement of the lawsuits; and,

WHEREAS, as the result of said negotiation efforts under the Master Stipulation For Moratorium, the City and County successfully negotiated a voluntary dismissal of the City's action in Superior Court Case No. 573647-5, entitled City of Fresno v. County of Fresno ("Boot Camp"); and,

WHEREAS, on or about August 20, 1997, the negotiating teams of the City Council and Board of Supervisors reached a conceptual agreement and framework for settling their differences, and reduced those principles to a writing entitled the "Recommended Deal Points," which set forth the following settlement principles:

- 1. Issues regarding sphere of influence and annexation will not be linked to the lawsuits. Further, annexation and sphere of influence will be handled in the normal and ordinary routine of present practices.
- 2. As an amendment to the 1991 MOU, and until the expiration of the 1991 MOU, the City proposes to commit \$1 million annually toward juvenile detention of sentenced juveniles. This amount is to be adjusted annually by a mutually agreed upon cost-of-living indicator, such as the California Urban C.P.I. In exchange for such a commitment, the County shall agree to use the annual \$1 million, as adjusted, solely for defraying costs of confinement of adjudicated juveniles at the Elkhorn Detention Facility or other County detention facility or facilities, other than the Tenth Street facility, and is willing to accept this as settlement for outstanding matters with the City with respect to all fines and forfeitures in the parking fines lawsuit. Upon execution of this settlement agreement, the 1977 MOU will be immediately amended to the effect that its applicability as to parking violations will terminate.
 - 3. The County is willing to settle the outstanding matters on AB 1290 by

deferring to applicable state law as the structured method to participate in redevelopment policy formation. Wherever appropriate, redevelopment activities should directly promote mutually beneficial programs focusing on economic development and job creation; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Board of Supervisors each approved the

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Board of Supervisors each approved the principles in the "Recommended Deal Points" and they directed staff to prepare a settlement agreement which reflected those principles; and,

WHEREAS, for a number of months thereafter the negotiators for the City and the County were resolving several issues arising from the preparation of settlement documents; 'and,

WHEREAS, as partial payments toward the first annual \$1 million installment payment to County, City paid County \$100,000 and \$400,000 in fiscal year 1997-98, which County shall apply toward the \$1 million due to County for the 1997-98 fiscal year; and,

WHEREAS, the "Recommended Deal Points" were supplemented on September 15, 1998, to provide that the 1977 MOU shall terminate on March 22, 2008, and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008; and,

WHEREAS, the parties now desire to resolve and compromise their differences and to completely terminate the remaining litigation currently pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Fresno, which settlement is without adjudication of any issue of fact or law and without any admission of liability or concession on the part of any party, but is a compromise only; and,

WHEREAS, the parties agree to reduce their compromise to this Master

Settlement Agreement, Release, Stipulation For Judgment and Order (hereinafter "Master Settlement Agreement"), to seek the court's approval thereof, and to initiate and carry out all necessary actions and execute all necessary instruments to fully implement this settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

In consideration of the mutual promises and performances hereinafter described, it is agreed as follows:

- A. THE LITIGATION BEING COMPROMISED. The several cases comprising the Litigation, with the corresponding plaintiffs, defendants, cross-complainants or cross-defendants named therein, each of whom shall be bound by this Master Settlement Agreement, are as follows:
- 1. Superior Court Case No. 502123-3, entitled <u>County of Fresno</u> v. <u>City of Fresno</u>, and <u>Related Cross-Action</u> ("Parking Fines"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant County of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Fresno.
- 2. Superior Court Case No. 545904-5, entitled City of Fresno and Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno v. County of Fresno, Fresno County Board of Supervisors. Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Related Cross-Action ("AB 1290"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant City of Fresno, Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant County of Fresno, Defendant Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Defendant Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector.
- 3. Superior Court Case No. 560663-7, entitled County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, and Fresno Redevelopment Agency, and Related Cross-Action ("CSA 48"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant County of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, Cross-Defendant Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Cross-Defendant Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, Cross-Defendant Fresno County

Clerk Susan Anderson, Cross-Defendant Sunnyside Property Owners Association, and Cross-Defendant Fresno County Local Agency Formation Commission.

- 4. Superior Court Case No. 571200-5, entitled <u>County of Fresno</u> v. <u>City of Fresno and Fresno Redevelopment Agency</u> ("Roeding Park CEQA"): Petitioner County of Fresno, Respondent City of Fresno, and Respondent Fresno Redevelopment Agency.
- 5. Superior Court Case No. 573647-5, entitled <u>County of Fresno v. City of Fresno</u>, <u>Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno</u> ("Roeding Park Redevelopment"): Plaintiff County of Fresno, Defendant City of Fresno, and Defendant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.

Superior Court Case No. 566895-9, entitled (City of Fresno v. County of Fresno ("Bootcamp"); was earlier voluntarily dismissed as the result of City and County negotiations, which dismissal was entered on January 28, 1997, and said action is not a part of this Master Settlement Agreement. The remaining lawsuits (hereinafter the "Litigation") are in various stages of action, having been suspended and stayed as the result of the parties' Moratorium Agreement, and the parties intend that they each and all be compromised and settled by this Master Settlement Agreement. The parties agree that the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court may make this Master Settlement Agreement an order of the court, and that the order shall be binding upon the parties and the Litigation.

- B. THE EXCHANGE OF CONSIDERATION. The parties agree that the following promises and counter-performances thereof constitute the necessary consideration for the settlement being made herein:
- 1. All parties shall forthwith dismiss their respective pending complaints, petitions, and cross-complaints in each of the cases comprising the Litigation against all defendants and cross-defendants listed in this Master Settlement Agreement, with prejudice.
- 2. All parties shall bear their own legal fees, court costs, attorneys fees and other expenses incurred in or related to the Litigation, including any legal fees or costs incurred in finalizing this Master Settlement Agreement.

- 3. City, County and Agency agree that County shall and hereby does extinguish its claims to the receipt of property tax increments under Article VI of the 1991 MOU, and further agree that property tax increment payments shall instead be paid to County pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 33607.5, or 33607.7 as applicable. County, City and Agency agree to execute an amendment to the 1991 MOU, whereby County agrees to accept the new obligations and the performance set forth therein in place of the obligations set forth in the payment provisions of Article VI of the 1991 MOU. The form of the Amendment to the 1991 MOU is attached as Exhibit "A."
- 4. City and County agree to amend the 1977 MOU to provide for its termination, as follows: The 1977 MOU shall terminate March 22, 2008, and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008. The form of the amendment to the 1977 MOU is attached as Exhibit "B."
- 5. City and County stipulate to a judgment pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 970, et seq., the form of which is attached as Exhibit "C", the intended effect of which is to pay County the sum of Nine Million Dollars (\$9,000,000.00), to be paid in equal annual installments of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00), plus interest, from the 1997-98 fiscal year up to and including the 2005-06 fiscal year, to be used by County toward defraying the costs of confinement of adjudicated juveniles at the Elkhorn Detention Facility or other County detention facility or facilities, other than the Tenth Street facility, as follows:
- (a) For the fiscal year 1997-98, City shall pay County the sum of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00). Credit is hereby given to City for two partial

payments previously made to County which total Five Hundred Thousand Dollars 1 2 (\$500,000.00), leaving a balance of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) due 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and owing to the County for fiscal year 1997-98. Said balance of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) shall be paid in seven equal annual installment payments of Seventy One Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Nine Dollars (\$71,429.00) until fully paid. Each payment shall bear interest, which is computed as follows: each payment shall be adjusted by the annual percentage of change in the California Urban Consumer Price Index For All Urban Consumers issued by the State of California, Department of Industrial Relations, with the base index being 160.2 (July, 1997). The first annual installment payment, adjusted by the aforesaid change in the California Urban C.P.I., shall be paid on September 1, 1999. Each subsequent installment payment plus the adjustment for change in the California Urban C.P.I. shall be paid on September 1 of each fiscal year until fully paid. In no event shall the adjustment for change in the California Urban C.P.I. result in a payment to County of less than \$71,429.00 in any fiscal year.

(b) For fiscal year 1998-99 and for each fiscal year thereafter up to and including fiscal year 2005-06, City shall pay County an installment payment of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) on September 1 of each such fiscal year. The payment for fiscal year 1998-99 and for each year thereafter up to and including the fiscal year 2005-06 shall bear interest, to be computed as follows: each payment shall be adjusted by the annual percentage of change in the California Urban Consumer Price Index For All Urban Consumers issued by the State of California, Department of Industrial Relations, with the base index being 160.2 (July, 1997). The first annual installment payment, adjusted by the aforesaid change in the California Urban C.P.I., shall be paid on September 1, 1998. Each subsequent installment payment plus the adjustment for change in the California Urban C.P.I. shall be paid on September 1 of each fiscal year until fully paid. In no event shall the adjustment for change in the California Urban C.P.I. result in a payment to County of less than \$1,000,000.00 in any fiscal year.

Nothing in this Master Settlement Agreement or this Judgment shall require the Redevelopment Agency, either directly or indirectly, as part of this Master Settlement Agreement or this Judgment, to make any payments to the County or any other affected taxing entities which are prohibited by Health & Safety Code section 33607.5(f)(2), or to pay for any public facilities that will be owned or leased to an affected taxing entity, including the Elkhorn Detention Facility or other County detention facility or facilities.

The City and County shall take all necessary steps to bring this stipulation for judgment to the court for approval promptly after execution of this Master Settlement Agreement by all parties, including, if necessary, the filing of an appropriate motion. If such a motion is required, the parties shall prepare a joint motion to the court and schedule it for hearing at the earliest available date on the court's calendar.

- 6. City and Agency Releases. City and Agency hereby agree to and do fully, finally and forever remise, discharge and release the County, Board, Auditor, Clerk, Sunnyside and LAFCo, their officers, employees, agents, accountants, attorneys, and all others acting for, under, or in concert with such party, past and present, of and from those claims, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, liabilities, loss, costs or expense, including attorney's fees, which are alleged or set forth in the lawsuits comprising the Litigation.
- 7. Sunnyside Releases. Sunnyside hereby agrees to and does fully, finally and forever remise, discharge and release the City and Agency, their officers, employees, agents, accountants, attorneys, and all others acting for, under, or in concert with such party, past and present, of and from those claims, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, liabilities, loss, costs or expense, including attorney's fees, which are alleged or set forth in the lawsuits comprising the Litigation.
- 8. LAFCo Releases. LAFCo hereby agrees to and does fully, finally and forever remise, discharge and release the City and Agency, their officers, employees, agents, accountants, attorneys, and all others acting for, under, or in concert with such party, past and present, of and from those claims, demands, actions, causes of action,

 obligations, damages, liabilities, loss, costs or expense, including attorney's fees, which are alleged or set forth in the lawsuits comprising the Litigation.

- 9. County, Board, Auditor and Clerk Releases. County, Board, Auditor and Clerk hereby agree to and do fully, finally and forever remise, discharge and release the City and Agency, their officers, employees, agents, accountants, attorneys, and all others acting for, under, or in concert with such party, past and present, of and from those claims, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, liabilities, loss, costs or expense, including attorney's fees, which are alleged or set forth in the lawsuits comprising the Litigation.
- 10. The parties hereto authorize their respective counsel to execute whatever form of documentation is necessary or required to terminate the aforementioned lawsuits constituting the Litigation.
- C. <u>NO ADMISSION</u>. Nothing contained in this settlement agreement shall be interpreted or construed to be an admission on the part of, nor to the prejudice of any person or party named herein, and each such party or person hereto expressly denies any and all liabilities associated with or related to said Litigation and claims described therein.
- D. <u>FINAL SETTLEMENT</u>. The parties understand that the provisions of this Master Settlement Agreement shall operate as the final release of all claims set forth in the Litigation.
- E. NO INDUCEMENT. Each party, individually and collectively, declares and represents that no promise, inducement or other agreement not expressly contained herein has been made and this Master Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties as to its subject matter and the terms of this Master Settlement Agreement are contractual and are not recitals only.
- F. **BINDING EFFECT**. This Master Settlement Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon each party hereto, their predecessors, successors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, representatives, assigns, agents, officers, directors,

employees and personal representatives, past, present and future. The parties specifically agree that this Master Settlement Agreement and the compromises reflected herein are premised on factors which are applicable only to the parties and circumstances stated herein, and that this Master Settlement Agreement is not made for the benefit of or intended to apply to any other person, public entity or circumstance not specifically enumerated herein.

- G. FURTHER ACTIONS: FURTHER DOCUMENTS. To the extent any further or additional things or acts are required by to be done or taken by any of the parties hereto to effectuate this Master Settlement Agreement, each party binds itself or himself to do such things and take such acts, including those to be done or taken through the exercise of executive or administrative authority, to fully carry out the purposes and intent of this Master Settlement Agreement. Furthermore, to the extent further documents or instruments are required to be executed by any of the parties to effectuate this Master Settlement Agreement each party hereto agrees to execute and deliver such other and further documents as may be required to carry out the terms of this Master Settlement Agreement.
- H. REPRESENTATION. Each party represents and acknowledges that each of them has been represented by counsel with respect to this Master Settlement Agreement and all matters covered by or related to herein. Each party has been fully advised with respect to all rights which are affected by this Master Settlement Agreement, and each party has authorized and directed their respective attorneys to execute and deliver such other and further documents or instruments as may be required to carry out the terms of this Master Settlement Agreement.
- I. NO MODIFICATION. This Master Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties as to its subject matter and may not be altered, amended, or modified in any respect, except by a writing duly executed by the party to be charged. All further prior agreements, understandings, oral agreements and writings as to the subject matter of this Master Settlement Agreement, save and except the

28 ////

understanding of the parties which culminated in the voluntary dismissal of the Boot Camp lawsuit, are expressly superseded hereby and are of no further force or effect.

- J. ENFORCEMENT. This Master Settlement Agreement may be enforced by any of the parties hereto for the failure of any other party to comply with its terms and to seek any remedy available under law or equity, including specific performance or injunction.
- K. <u>LEGAL AUTHORITY</u>. Each party warrants to the others that it has the power and authority to enter into this Master Settlement Agreement on behalf of itself, its predecessor(s) in interest, and any successors in interest. Each party warrants to the others that each has the legal authority to enter into and be legally bound by this Master Settlement Agreement, that each has exercised its discretion in connection with its Constitutional and statutory responsibilities, and that each determined that this Master Settlement Agreement is a lawful and valid act and is undertaken in accordance with all applicable California law and court cases.
- L. **HEADINGS**. Paragraph headings are used herein for convenience only and shall have no force or effect in the interpretation or construction of this Master Settlement Agreement. As used in this Master Settlement Agreement, the singular shall include the plural and the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter genders.
- M. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>. This Master Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same agreement.
- N. **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This Master Settlement Agreement shall be effective and binding upon all the parties upon execution by all the parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The parties below named have executed this Master Settlement Agreement in the County of Fresno, State of California, as of the date and year last below written.

1	Dated: September 23. 1998	CITY OF FRESNO
2		1,,
3		By J M W Jeffrey M. Reid
4	20	City Manager
5	Dated: September <u>23</u> . 1998	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF FRESMO
6		
7		By Carl Chil
8		Daniel R. Fitzpatrick Executive Director
9	Dated: September 1998	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
10	ATTEST:	HILDA CANTÚ MONTOY City Attorney
12	REBECCA E. KLISCH	City Audiney
13	By folice P. CLERK	By Hilds Canto Manh
14	Deputy	By Attorney for the City of Fresno and Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.
15	Dated: September 22 . 1998	COUNTY OF FRESNO, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF
16		FRESNO
17		
18	•	By Jan Grambula Chairman,
19		Board of Supervisors
20	Dated: September, 1998	FRESNO COUNTY AUDITOR- CONTROLLER/TREASURER TAX
21		COLLECTOR
22		De Star William
23	Dated: September 83 , 1998	EDECNO COUNTY OF EDV CHEAN
25	Dated. September <u>82</u> , 1998	FRESNO COUNTY CLERK SUSAN ANDERSON
26	· maris a	(200
27	ATTEST: SHARI GREENWOOD, Clerk	By Myan 1). Miller
28	Board of Supervisors	
	By Jyone Mutter Deputy	12

1	Dated: September <u>W</u> , 1998	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
2		PHILLIP S. CRONIN County Counsel
3		
4		By Willy Mortiff
5		Attorney for County of Fresno, Board of
6		Attorney for County of Fresno, Board of Supervisors of Fresno County, Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, Fresno County Clerk
7	Dated: September <u>29</u> , 1998	SUNNYSIDE PROPERTY OWNERS
8	<u></u>	ASSOCIATION
9 10		By Doris H. Holemein
11	Dated: September, 1998	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
12		
13		
14	_	Attorney for Sunnyside Property Owners Association
15	Dated: September 23, 1998	FRESNO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
16		1011
17		By ////
18	Dated: September 23, 1998	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
19 20		JEFF KUHN
1		Madera County Counsel
21		210 1.V
22		Attorney for Fresho County Local Agency
23		Formation Commission
24	<u>ORDER</u>	
25		e foregoing Master Settlement Agreement,
26	Release And Stipulation For Judgment of	f the parties is approved and made an order of
27	this court. This Order is binding on all th	e litigation and the parties referenced herein.

The parties are ordered to comply with the terms of this Master Settlement Agreement,

Release And Stipulation for Judgment, and the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter to enforce its terms. It is not necessary for the parties to secure further orders from assigned departments in order to effectuate this Master Settlement Agreement. This Court Order shall be filed with each assigned department.

Dated: 1998

Honorable James Quashniok L. J. O. Presiding Judge, Fresno County Courts

A: 98MASTER.FIN

FIRST AMENDMENT TO

1991 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, THE CITY OF FRESNO, AND THE FRESNO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY.

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING is made and executed this _____ day of September, 1998 (the "effective date"), by and between the COUNTY OF FRESNO, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as ("COUNTY"), the CITY OF FRESNO, a municipal corporation of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "CITY"), and the FRESNO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, a redevelopment agency organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "AGENCY").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the City, County and Agency have previously entered into a comprehensive agreement covering development, annexations, sales taxes, property taxes, redevelopment and other matters, which is entitled "Memorandum of Understanding Between The County of Fresno, The City Of Fresno, And The Fresno Redevelopment Agency," dated February 26, 1991 (hereinafter "1991 MOU"); and,

WHEREAS, the City and County have experienced a number of disputes between them concerning the operation or interpretation of the 1991 MOU, including a bona fide dispute over the effect on Article VI of the 1991 MOU of certain 1993 legislative amendments to the California Redevelopment Law, more commonly known as "AB 1290"; and,

WHEREAS, these disputes have resulted in several lawsuits between the City and County, which the City and County have agreed to compromise and settle pursuant to the terms of a Master Settlement Agreement, Release, Stipulation For Judgment, and Order (hereafter "Master Settlement Agreement") which is being executed contemporaneously herewith; and,

7 8

9

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

2**3** 24

25 26

27 : 28 : WHEREAS, as set forth in the aforesaid Master Settlement Agreement, the County agreed to extinguish its claim for tax increment payments to County from redevelopment projects adopted by the Agency pursuant to Article VI of the 1991 MOU, and to excise the Redevelopment obligations under Article VI of the 1991 MOU, in consideration of City's agreement to pay County the amounts set forth therein; and.

WHEREAS, in order to effectuate the Master Settlement Agreement, the City, County and Agency desire to amend the 1991 MOU in accordance with the Master Settlement Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree to amend the 1991 MOU as follows:

AMENDMENT

- 1. Pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement, County, City and Agency agreed to extinguish County's claim that 1991 MOU Article VI, section 1.7 and the following language from page 44, line 27 to page 45, line 1, "except to the extent redevelopment projects, as defined in this MOU, trigger the application of Article VI of this MOU," required City and Agency to pay tax increments to County under the terms of the MOU.
 - 2. Therefore, County, City and Agency agree to amend the 1991 MOU as follows:
- a. Whatever present or future obligation City and Agency have or may have under the payment provisions of Article VI of the MOU to pay County property tax increments from redevelopment projects adopted by the Agency are hereby extinguished.
- b. County shall receive property tax increments from redevelopment projects adopted by the Agency on or after January 1, 1994, or amended by the Agency on or after January 1, 1994, in accordance with the provisions of Health & Safety Code section 33607.5 or 33607.7, if applicable (AB 1290).
- 3. Except as thus amended, all other provisions of the 1991 MOU remain the same and are unaffected by this First Amendment.
- 4. City, Agency and County agree that each has the legal authority to enter into and be legally bound by this First Amendment, that each has exercised its discretion in

i	connection with its Constitutional and statutory responsibilities, and that each has	
2	determined that this First Amendment is a lawful and valid act and is undertaken in	
3	accordance with all applicable California law and court cases.	
4	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this First Amendment	
5	to the 1991 Memorandum of Understanding in the County of Fresno, State of California.	
6	on the date first set forth above.	
7	CITY OF FRESNO, a Municipal Corporation	
8	By	
9 10	JEFFREY M. REID Chief Administrative Officer	
	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE	
11	CITY OF FRESNO	
12	By	
13	Executive Director of Redevelopment Agency	
14	Ex Officio Secretary of the Redevelopment Agency	
15	**************************************	
16	Ву	
17	Ex Officio Secretary ATTEST	
18	REBECCA E. KLISCH	
19	CITY CLERK	
20		
21	Deputy	
22	ADDDOVED AS TO LEGAL FORM	
23-	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:	
24	HILDA CANTÚ MONTOY CITY ATTORNEY	
25	Bv:	
26	Attorney for the City of Fresno	
27	and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno	
28	(Signatures continued on next page)	

1	of the State of California Subdivision
3	j
4	Chairman, Board of Supervisors
5	ATTEST:
6	
7	JOINE OF SOFERVISORS
8	By:
9	Бу.
10	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
11	PHILLIP S. CRONIN.
12	COUNTY COUNSEL
13	
14	By: Attorney for the County of Fresno
Į 5	APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM:
<u> 16</u>	GARY W. PETERSON, AUDITOR-CONTROLLER/
17	TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
18	Ву:
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	A: 91 AMEND FT:

FIRST AMENDMENT TO 1977 MOU

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT TO 1977 MOU is made and executed this
day of September, 1998 (the "effective date") by and between the COUNTY OF
FRESNO, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as
("COUNTY"), and the CITY OF FRESNO, a municipal corporation of the State of
California (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the City and County entered into that certain agreement entitled "Agreement Between The County of Fresno And The City Of Fresno Relating To Municipal Court Fines And Forfeitures," dated March 22, 1977 (hereinafter "1977 MOU"), attached hereto as Exhibit "1"; and

WHEREAS, the City and County have experienced a number of disputes between them concerning the operation or interpretation of the 1977 MOU, including a bona fide dispute over whether the provisions of certain 1993 legislative amendments, more commonly known as "AB 408," superseded the 1977 MOU as it related to revenues from parking citations issued by the City of Fresno; and.

WHEREAS, these disputes have resulted in a complaint being filed by the County and a cross-complaint being filed by the City, wherein County and City raised a number of claims between them, which the City and County have agreed to compromise and settle pursuant to the terms of a Master Settlement Agreement, Release. Stipulation for Judgment and Order (hereinafter "Master Settlement Agreement"), which is being executed contemporaneously herewith; and,

WHEREAS, as set forth in the aforesaid Master Settlement Agreement, and in consideration of City's agreement to pay County the amounts set forth in the Master Settlement Agreement, the County agreed to extinguish its claim that civil penalties from parking citations issued and processed by the City fell within the scope of the 1977 MOU and had to be paid to County, to amend the 1977 MOU in accordance therewith, and to amend the 1977 MOU to provide that the 1977 MOU shall terminate on March 22, 2008,

23:

and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008; and,

WHEREAS, in order to implement the Master Settlement Agreement, the City, County and Agency desire to amend the 1977 MOU so as to effectuate the parties' accord in the Master Settlement Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree to amend the 1977 MOU as follows:

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

- 1. Pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement, City and County agreed to extinguish County's claim that civil parking penalties from the issuance and processing of parking citations by the City were properly the revenues belonging to County under the 1977 MOU, to amend the 1977 MOU in accordance therewith, and to provide for a termination of the 1977 MOU.
 - 2. Accordingly, County and City amend the 1977 MOU as follows:
 - A. Insert as new paragraph 3, at page 2 thereof, the following:
 - "3. Effective July 1, 1993, this Agreement does not apply to parking violations, or to the revenues or proceeds thereof, of whatever kind or nature, collected in connection with parking violations."
 - B. Insert as new paragraph 4, at page 2 thereof, the following:
 - "4. This Agreement shall terminate on March 22, 2008, and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the

City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008."

- 3. Except as thus amended, all other provisions of the 1977 MOU remain the same and are unaffected by this First Amendment to the 1977 MOU.
- 4. City and County agree that each has the legal authority to enter into and be legally bound by this First Amendment, that each has exercised its discretion in connection with its Constitutional and statutory responsibilities, and that each has determined that this First Amendment is a lawful and valid act and is undertaken in accordance with all applicable California law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this First Amendment to the 1977 MOU in the County of Fresno, State of California, on the date first set forth above.

By __

CITY OF FRESNO

Chief Administrative Officer
Approved as to Legal Form:
HILDA CANTÚ MONTOY Fresno City Attorney
ByAttorney for the City of Fresno
vor me city of Liegilo

(Signatures continued on next page)

•		COUNTY OF FRESNO
2		
3		_
4		Chairman,
. 5	·	Board of Supervisors
6	ATTEST:	
7	SHARI GREENWOOD, CLERK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	
8		
9	By:	
10	:	
11	> }	
12		APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM:
13		GARY W. PETERSON, AUDITOR- CONTROLLER/TREASURER-TAX
14		COLLECTOR
15		
16	·	Ву:
17		
18	•	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
19		PHILLIP S. CRONIN
20		COUNTY COUNSEL
21		D.
22		Attorney for the County of Fresno
23.		
24		
25	A177AMEND FIN	
26	- m m - reduc 7-(g/, p. 6, r	
27		
28	,	

AGREEMENT

BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF FRESHO AND THE CITY OF FRESHO RELATING TO MUNICIPAL COURT FINES AND FORFEITURES

THIS AGRETMENT, made as of March 22,1377, between the County of Fresho, a political subdivision of the State of C.lifornia, party of the first part, and the City of Fresho, a municipal corporation, to wit, a charter city within said County, party of the second part;

WITHESSETH: -

5

6

THEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1463 of the Fenal Code and related sections, fines and forfeitures collected by the Municipal Court of the Fresno Judicial District are currently disposed of by the Treasurer of the County of Fresno by transferring the same to the proper finds of the County of Fresno and the City of Fresno in all contains with the percentage set forth in said sections

WHEREAS, said section provides that any country and city therein may by mutual agreement adjust the percentage cherein specified; and

WHEREAS, as a part of the sectlement of the controversy between the County and City relating to the snaring of the si as and use taxes collected within the city, the parties have arread that 100% of all fines and forfeitures referred to in faction 1463(c) of the Penal Cide collected by the Microsi County of the Fresho Judicial Cistrion shall, on a latter April 1, 1977, he transferred by the County Treasurer to the County general fund to be recained by the County for its use and benefit, except as hereinafter cinerwise provided.

HOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto have and by these presents do agree as follows:

- 1. All transfers by themFresno County Treasurer made pursuant to Penal Code Section 1463(c), of the fines and forfeitures specified therein collected and deposited with said Treasureraby the Municipal Court. of the Fresno Judicial District, shall be made, on and after April 1, 1977, one hundred percent (199%) to the general fund of the County of: France provided, however, that any of said fines and forfeitures_so collected and deposited on or before February 28, 1977, small, whether transferred before or after April 1, 1977, be distributed according to the percentage allocation specified in Penal Code Section 1463(c).
- 2. This agreement shall not be deemed to applyto any funds except those which would otherwise be transferred to the City of Fresno pursuant to Section 1463(c) of the Penal Code.

IN WITHERS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this agreement to be duly executed as of the date hereinabove contained, pursuant to resolutions of the respective governing bodías chareof duly mada.

COUNTY OF FRESHO

'CITY OF FRESHO

Board of Supervisors

APPROXITE AS TO KNOW

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

Argempy Counsel

M. G. WINGETT, Clark of the Scare of Supervisors

1 2 3	2220 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor Fresno, CA 93721 (209) 488-3479	nsei
5	HILDA CANTU MONTOY, City Attorney JESSE J. AVILA, Assistant City Attorney City of Fresno	
7	2600 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721-3602 (209) 498-1326	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF F	RESNO
10	·	
11	COUNTY OF FRESNO. a political subdivision of the State of California. Plaintiff.	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION
12	V.) Case No. 502123-3
13	CITY OF FRESNO, a municipal corporation Defendant.))
14	And Related Cross-Action.	
15	And Related Cross-Action.	
16	CITY OF FRESNO and REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO, Plaintiffs.	Case No. 545904-5
17	V.	
18	COUNTY OF FRESNO. FRESNO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. FRESNO COUNTY	
19	AUDITOR-CONTROLLER/TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR	
20	Defendants.	
21	And Related Cross-Action.	
22	`	
23	COUNTY OF FRESNO, a political subdivision of the State of California.	Case No. 560663-7
24	Plaintiff, V.	
25	CITY OF FRESNO and FRESNO	
26	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY	
27	Defendants.	
28	And Related Cross-Action.	•
		•

1	COUNTY OF FRESNO, a political subdivision of State of California.	Case No. 571200-5
2	v. Plaintiff,	
3	CITY OF FRESNO and FRESNO	\
4	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY.	
5	Defendants.	Ś
6	COUNTY OF FRESNO, a political subdivision of	Case No. 573647-5
7	the State of California. Plaintiff)
8	V.	}
9	CITY OF FRESNO. REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO	}
10	Defendants.	\
ı		,

Pursuant to their Master Settlement Agreement. Release. Stipulation For Judgment and Order, the County of Fresno, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors, the Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector. Fresno County Clerk Susan Anderson, the City of Fresno and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, variously plaintiffs, defendants, cross-complainants and cross-defendants in the above-referenced actions, stipulated that upon the facts and authorities set forth therein, judgment shall be entered as follows:

- 1. The stipulated judgment shall be a judgment within the meaning of Government Code section 970, and shall be binding upon the County of Fresno, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors, the Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector. Fresno County Clerk Susan Anderson, the City of Fresno and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno as to the following "Litigation" set forth in the Master Settlement Agreement, Release, Stipulation For Judgment And Order:
- 1.1. Superior Court Case No. 502123-3, entitled County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, and Related Cross-Action ("Parking Fines"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant County of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Fresno.
- 1.2. Superior Court Case No. 545904-5, entitled <u>City of Fresno and Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno v. County of Fresno Fresno County Board of Supervisors. Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector. and Related Cross-</u>

23.

Action ("AB 1290"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant City of Fresno. Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. Defendant and Cross-Compiainant County of Fresno. Defendant Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Defendant Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector.

- 1.3. Superior Court Case No. 560663-7, entitled County of Fresno v. City of Fresno, and Fresno Redevelopment Agency, and Related Cross-Action ("CSA 48"): Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant County of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Fresno, Defendant and Cross-Complainant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. Cross-Defendant Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Cross-Defendant Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, Cross-Defendant Fresno County Clerk Susan Anderson, Cross-Defendant Sunnyside Property Owners Association, and Cross-Defendant Fresno County Local Agency Formation Commission.
- 1.4. Superior Court Case No. 571200-5, entitled County of Fresno v. City of Fresno and Fresno Redevelopment Agency ("Roeding Park CEQA"): Petitioner County of Fresno, Respondent City of Fresno, and Respondent Fresno Redevelopment Agency.
- 1.5. Superior Court Case No. 573647-5, entitled <u>County of Fresno</u> v. <u>City of Fresno</u>, <u>Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno</u> ("Roeding Park Redevelopment"):

 Plaintiff County of Fresno, Defendant City of Fresno, and Defendant Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.
- 2. The stipulated judgment shall be entered pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 970, et seq., entitled "Payment of Judgments Against Local Public Entities," and that pursuant to those provisions, any party may seek enforcement of the judgment, the court may enforce and compel performance of the judgment by any means available to the court, and the court shall retain jurisdiction over these matters to enforce the payment of the judgment.
- 3. Nothing in this Master Settlement Agreement or this Judgment shall require the Redevelopment Agency, either directly or indirectly, as part of this Master Settlement Agreement or this Judgment, to make any payments to the County or any other affected taxing entities which are prohibited by Health & Safety Code section 33607.5(f)(2), or to pay for any public facilities

	that will be owned or leased to an affected taxing entity, including the Elkhorn Detention Facility	
2	or other County detention facility or facilities.	
	4. The respective parties shall each bear their own costs. No party shall be deemed a	
2	prevailing party for purposes of an award of attorneys fees under Code of Civil Procedure Section	
5	1021.5.	
6	5. The parties below, through their respective counsel, bind themselves to the stipulated	
7	judgment set forth below.	
8	HILDA CANTU MONTOY	
9		
10	il DA	
11	Attorneys for the City of Fresno and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno	
12	Dated: September, 1998 PHILLIP S. CRONIN	
13	COUNTY COUNSEL	
14	By_	
15 54	Attorneys for the County of Fresno, Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Fresno	
16	Attorneys for the County of Fresno, Fresno County Board of Supervisors, Fresno County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector. Fresno County Clerk Susan Anderson	
17	Dated: September, 1998 JEFFREY KUHN	
18	Dated: September, 1998 JEFFREY KUHN MADERA COUNTY COUNSEL	
19		
20	By Attorneys for the Fresno County Local Agency	
21	roi manon Commission	
22	Dated: September, 1998 PARICHAN, RENBERG, CROSSMAN & HARVEY	
23		
24	By	
25	JUDGMENT	
26	Good cause appearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED. ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
27	1. The stipulation for judgment set forth in the aforesaid Master Settlement Agreement,	
28	Release, Stipulation For Judgment and Order is approved.	

Dated: _____, 1998

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

Judge of the Superior Court

a-98-454

FIRST AMENDMENT TO 1977 MOU

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT TO 1977 MOU is made and executed this 22ND day of September, 1998 (the "effective date") by and between the COUNTY OF FRESNO, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as ("COUNTY"), and the CITY OF FRESNO, a municipal corporation of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the City and County entered into that certain agreement entitled "Agreement Between The County of Fresno And The City Of Fresno Relating To Municipal Court Fines And Forfeitures." dated March 22, 1977 (hereinafter "1977 MOU"), attached hereto as Exhibit "1"; and,

WHEREAS, the City and County have experienced a number of disputes between them concerning the operation or interpretation of the 1977 MOU, including a bona fide dispute over whether the provisions of certain 1993 legislative amendments, more commonly known as "AB 408." superseded the 1977 MOU as it related to revenues from parking citations issued by the City of Fresno; and,

WHEREAS, these disputes have resulted in a complaint being filed by the County and a cross-complaint being filed by the City, wherein County and City raised a number of claims between them, which the City and County have agreed to compromise and settle pursuant to the terms of a Master Settlement Agreement, Release, Stipulation for Judgment and Order (hereinafter "Master Settlement Agreement"), which is being executed contemporaneously herewith; and,

WHEREAS, as set forth in the aforesaid Master Settlement Agreement, and in consideration of City's agreement to pay County the amounts set forth in the Master Settlement Agreement, the County agreed to extinguish its claim that civil penalties from parking citations issued and processed by the City fell within the scope of the 1977 MOU and had to be paid to County, to amend the 1977 MOU in accordance therewith, and to amend the 1977 MOU to provide that the 1977 MOU shall terminate on March 22, 2008,

 and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008; and,

WHEREAS, in order to implement the Master Settlement Agreement, the City, County and Agency desire to amend the 1977 MOU so as to effectuate the parties' accord in the Master Settlement Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree to amend the 1977 MOU as follows:

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

- 1. Pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement, City and County agreed to extinguish County's claim that civil parking penalties from the issuance and processing of parking citations by the City were properly the revenues belonging to County under the 1977 MOU, to amend the 1977 MOU in accordance therewith, and to provide for a termination of the 1977 MOU.
 - 2. Accordingly, County and City amend the 1977 MOU as follows:
 - A. Insert as new paragraph 3, at page 2 thereof, the following:
 - "3. Effective July 1, 1993, this Agreement does not apply to parking violations, or to the revenues or proceeds thereof, of whatever kind or nature, collected in connection with parking violations."
 - B. Insert as new paragraph 4, at page 2 thereof, the following:
 - "4. This Agreement shall terminate on March 22, 2008, and shall terminate earlier than March 22, 2008, only if the 1991 MOU is either amended or superseded by another Memorandum of Understanding between the

City and County to expressly terminate the 1977 MOU after September 30, 1998. However, at any occasion after September 30, 1998, if the parties meet to negotiate an amendment to the 1991 MOU, they shall contemporaneously consider the question of whether or not to terminate the 1977 MOU prior to March 22, 2008."

- 3. Except as thus amended, all other provisions of the 1977 MOU remain the same and are unaffected by this First Amendment to the 1977 MOU.
- 4. City and County agree that each has the legal authority to enter into and be legally bound by this First Amendment, that each has exercised its discretion in connection with its Constitutional and statutory responsibilities, and that each has determined that this First Amendment is a lawful and valid act and is undertaken in accordance with all applicable California law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this First Amendment to the 1977 MOU in the County of Fresno, State of California, on the date first set forth above.

CITY OF FRESNO

By Jefftev M/Reid Chief Administrative Officer

Approved as to Legal Form:

HILDA CANTÚ MONTOY Fresno City Attornev

By Attorney for the City of Fresno

(Signatures continued on next page)

ATTEST:

REBECCA E. KLISCH

CITY CLERK

Deputy

SEP 2.2 1998

COUNTY OF FRESNO

By Chairman,
Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SHARI GREENWOOD. CLERK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: Oyone / Cutter

APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM:

GARY W. PETERSON. AUDITOR-CONTROLLER/TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR

By

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

PHILLE S. CRONIN COUNTY COUNSEL

By

Attorney for the County of Fresno

25 AA77AMEND.FIN

27

26

AGREEMENT

BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF FRESHO AND THE CITY OF FRESHO RELATING TO MUNICIPAL COURT FINES AND FORFEITURES

THIS AGREEMENT, made as of March 22.1377, between the County of Fresho, a political subdivision of the State of C.lifornia, party of the first part, and the City of Fresho, a municipal corporation, to wit, a charter city within said County, party of the second part;

WITHESSETH: .

3

8

THEREAS. pursuant to the provisions of Section 1463 of the Fenci Code and related sections, fines and forfaitures collected by the Municipal Court of the Fresno Judicial District are currently disposed of by the Irrasurer of the Clinty of Fresno by transferring the same to the proper finds of the County of Fresno and the City of Fresno in allocations with the percentage set forth in said sections

WHEREAS, said section provides that any country and city
therein may by mutual agreement adjust the percentage therein
specified; and

Therees are Country and City relating to the sharing of the SL 43 and use cases obligated within the city, the parties have streed that 100% of all fines and forfettures referred to in faction 1463(c) of the Penal Code collected by the Michael Tours of the Freeno Judicial District shall, on a later April 1, 1977, be transferred by the Country Treasurer to the Country general fund to be retained by the Country for its use and banefit, except as hereinafter otherwise province.

HOW, THEREFORE: the parties hereto have and by these-

nade pursuant to Penal Code Section 1463(c), of the fines and forfeitures specified therein collected and deposited with said Treasurementy the Municipal Court. of the Fresno Judicial District, shall be made, on and after April 1, 1977, one hundred percent (1931) to the general fund of the County of: Fresno: provided, however, that any of said fines and forfeitures so collected and deposited on or before February 23, 1977, shall, whether transferred before or after April 1, 1977, be distributed according to the percentage allocation specified in Penal Code Section 1463(c).

2. This agreement shall not be deemed to applyto any funds except those which would otherwise be transferred to the City of Fresho pursuant to Section 1463(c) of the Penal Code.

IN WITHESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this agreement to be duly executed as of the date hereinanove contained, pursuant to resolutions of the respective governing bodies thereof duly made.

CCUNTY OF FRESHO

'CITY OF FRESHO

Office of Supervisors

Chies Administractes Conner

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

ATTESTEY Counsel

M. G. WINGERT, Clark of the Board of Supervisors

1-17-70, aul a Anti -2-

APPECITED AS TO FORM

Moines Ferry 1